

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/085,913 | 02/27/2002 | James J. Jakubowski | 43231C | 3329 |
| 109 | 7590 03/12/2003 | | | |
| THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION P. O. BOX 1967 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS | |
| MIDLAND, M | II 48641-1967 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1714 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003 | |

8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | AS- | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Advisory Action | 10/085,913 | JAKUBOWSKI ET AL. | | | |
| • | Examin r | Art Unit | | | |
| | Patrick D. Niland | 1714 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendme | s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR R | <u>EPLY</u> [check either a) or b |)] | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Ace event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for han SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS ate on which the petition under 37 asion and the corresponding amound statutory period for reply original | g date of the final rejection. OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee unt of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under lly set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to | because: | | | | |
| (a) $igotimes$ they raise new issues that would require furth | ner consideration and/or se | earch (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) $\ \square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note | below); | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal t | by materially reducing or simplifying the | | | |
| (d) $oxtimes$ they present additional claims without cance | eling a corresponding numl | ber of finally rejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection. | ction(s): | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | d be allowable if submitted | I in a separate, timely filed amendment | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: or | | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ecause it is not directed SC | DLELY to issues which were newly | | | |
| 7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows | : | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: NONE | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: NONE. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE. | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | s a) approved or b) | disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | |
| 10. Other: | | Patrick D. Niland Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 10/085,913

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The examined claims did not require the instantly claimed anionic surfactants and it would require further consideration to determine whether the small molecular weight fraction of the patentee's anionic polyurethanes falls within the scope of the instantly claimed anionic surfactants. Further search is also required, which is improper at this stage of prosecution..